**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**
**ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION.**

**REVIEW PETITION NO. OF 2006.**
**IN**
**WRIT PETITION NO. 1557 OF 2003 .**

Sudha C. Ingle ... Petitioner.
V/s.
The Principal,
R.A. Podar College & ors. ... Respondents.

Mr. Mihir Desai for the petitioner.
Mr.V.P. Vaidya for respondent Nos. 1 & 2.
Mr.Sushil Mahadeshwar for respondent No.3.
Mr. M.D. Naik, AGP for respondent Nos. 4 & 5.

**CORAM : V.C.DAGA, & S.C. DHARMADHIKARI,JJ.**

**DATED : 8.3..2006.**

**P.C. :**
**----**

. Heard learned Counsel for parties.

. By consent of parties, clause 8(b) appearing in the operative part of the judgment is deleted and substituted with that of the following :

> . "It is needless to mention that the petitioner shall be entitled to continue in employment so long as respondent No.1 -College does not find any other D.T.N.T. qualified candidate or the post is de-reserved for appointment of any other candidate."

. Review petition accordingly stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**(V.C.DAGA,J.)**

**(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI,J.)**